Brenier's polar factorization theorem and McCann's generalization

Osman Berat Okutan

The Ohio State University

03/17/2018

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded smooth domain and $s : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Borel map which does not map positive volume into zero volume. Then s uniquely decomposes into the form

 $s = t \circ u$, where

 $u: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is a volume preserving map and

 $t = \nabla \psi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is the gradient of a convex function

 $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}.$

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded smooth domain and $s : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Borel map which does not map positive volume into zero volume. Then s uniquely decomposes into the form

 $s = t \circ u$, where

 $u:\Omega\to\Omega$ is a volume preserving map and

 $t = \nabla \psi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is the gradient of a convex function

 $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}.$

McCann generalizes this result to Riemannian manifolds.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded smooth domain and $s : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Borel map which does not map positive volume into zero volume. Then s uniquely decomposes into the form

 $s = t \circ u$, where

 $u:\Omega\to\Omega$ is a volume preserving map and

 $t = \nabla \psi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is the gradient of a convex function

- $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}.$
 - McCann generalizes this result to Riemannian manifolds.
 - Question: What is the relation between this and optimal transport?

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded smooth domain and $s : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Borel map which does not map positive volume into zero volume. Then s uniquely decomposes into the form

 $s = t \circ u$, where

 $u: \Omega \to \Omega$ is a volume preserving map and

 $t = \nabla \psi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is the gradient of a convex function

 $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}.$

- McCann generalizes this result to Riemannian manifolds.
- Question: What is the relation between this and optimal transport?
- Answer: Proof depends on the solution of Monge-Kantorovich problem.

Let *M* be a (topological) space and µ, ν be (Borel) measures on *M*. Let c : M × M → [0, ∞] be a function (it is called the cost function.)

Let *M* be a (topological) space and μ, ν be (Borel) measures on *M*. Let c : M × M → [0,∞] be a function (it is called the cost function.)

• The set of all *transport maps* from μ to ν is defined as follows:

$$S(\mu,\nu) := \{G: M \to M: G_*(\mu) = \nu\}.$$

Let *M* be a (topological) space and μ, ν be (Borel) measures on *M*. Let c : M × M → [0,∞] be a function (it is called the cost function.)

• The set of all *transport maps* from μ to ν is defined as follows:

$$S(\mu,\nu):=\{G:M\to M:G_*(\mu)=\nu\}.$$

• The cost of a transport map $G \in S(\mu, \nu)$ is defined by

$$C(G) = \int_{M} c(x, G(x)) d(\mu(x)).$$

Let *M* be a (topological) space and μ, ν be (Borel) measures on *M*. Let c : M × M → [0, ∞] be a function (it is called the cost function.)

• The set of all *transport maps* from μ to ν is defined as follows:

$$S(\mu,\nu):=\{G:M\to M:G_*(\mu)=\nu\}.$$

• The cost of a transport map $G \in S(\mu, \nu)$ is defined by

$$C(G) = \int_M c(x, G(x))d(\mu(x)).$$

 Monge problem is finding the cost minimizing transport map G.

Let *M* be a (topological) space and μ, ν be (Borel) measures on *M*. Let c : M × M → [0,∞] be a function (it is called the cost function.)

• The set of all *transport maps* from μ to ν is defined as follows:

$$S(\mu,\nu):=\{G:M\to M:G_*(\mu)=\nu\}.$$

• The cost of a transport map $G \in S(\mu, \nu)$ is defined by

$$C(G) = \int_M c(x, G(x))d(\mu(x)).$$

- Monge problem is finding the cost minimizing transport map G.
- Existence of the solution depends on properties c. In this presentation we assume that M is a metric space and $c = d^2/2$.

M, μ, ν, c as above. Let p, q : M × M → M denote the projection onto the first coordinate and second coordinate respectively. The set of all transport plans from μ to ν is defined by

 $\Gamma(\mu, \nu) := \{\gamma \text{ a Borel measure on } M \times M : p_*(\gamma) = \mu, q_*(\gamma) = \nu\}.$

M, μ, ν, c as above. Let p, q : M × M → M denote the projection onto the first coordinate and second coordinate respectively. The set of all transport plans from μ to ν is defined by

 $\Gamma(\mu,\nu) := \{\gamma \text{ a Borel measure on } M \times M : p_*(\gamma) = \mu, q_*(\gamma) = \nu\}.$

The mathematical term for a transport plan is a coupling.

M, μ, ν, c as above. Let p, q : M × M → M denote the projection onto the first coordinate and second coordinate respectively. The set of all transport plans from μ to ν is defined by

 $\Gamma(\mu, \nu) := \{\gamma \text{ a Borel measure on } M \times M : p_*(\gamma) = \mu, q_*(\gamma) = \nu\}.$

- The mathematical term for a transport plan is a coupling.
- The cost of a transport plan γ is defined by

$$C(\gamma) = \int_{M \times M} c(x, y) d(\gamma(x, y)).$$

M, μ, ν, c as above. Let p, q : M × M → M denote the projection onto the first coordinate and second coordinate respectively. The set of all transport plans from μ to ν is defined by

 $\Gamma(\mu, \nu) := \{\gamma \text{ a Borel measure on } M \times M : p_*(\gamma) = \mu, q_*(\gamma) = \nu\}.$

- The mathematical term for a transport plan is a coupling.
- The cost of a transport plan γ is defined by

$$C(\gamma) = \int_{M \times M} c(x, y) d(\gamma(x, y)).$$

 Kantorovich problems is finding the cost minimizing transport plan. Relation between Monge and Kantarovich Problem

Kantorovich problem is a relaxation of the Monge problem in the following sense:

The map $S(\mu, \nu) \to \Gamma(\mu, \nu)$ given by $G \mapsto (id_M \times G)_*(\mu)$ is a cost preserving embedding.

Relation between Monge and Kantarovich Problem

- Kantorovich problem is a relaxation of the Monge problem in the following sense:
 The map S(μ, ν) → Γ(μ, ν) given by G → (id_M × G)_{*}(μ) is a
 - cost preserving embedding.
- The image of the map above is the set of measures in Γ(μ, ν) whose support is a graph.

Relation between Monge and Kantarovich Problem

Kantorovich problem is a relaxation of the Monge problem in the following sense:

The map $S(\mu, \nu) \to \Gamma(\mu, \nu)$ given by $G \mapsto (id_M \times G)_*(\mu)$ is a cost preserving embedding.

- The image of the map above is the set of measures in $\Gamma(\mu, \nu)$ whose support is a graph.
- F(u, v) is a convex subset of a Banach space (i.e. dual space of the continuous functions (C(M × M), I_∞)). This is helpful for showing the existence and uniqueness of solutions.

Existence of Monge solutions, uniqueness of Kantorovich solutions

Let M be an *n*-dimensional connected compact Riemannian manifold, and μ, ν be Borel measures on M. Then there is a convex potential function $\psi: M \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

- $G(x) := \exp_x(\nabla \psi)$ is a transport map.
- ► *G* is the only transport map arising this way. It solves Monge's problem.
- Kantorovich problem has a unique solution.
- ► Kantorovich problem is obtained from *G*.

Let M be a connected compact Riemannian manifold. Let $s: M \to M$ be a Borel map which never maps positive volume into zero volume. Then s factors uniquely into the form $s = t \circ u$, where

 $u: M \rightarrow M$ is a volume preserving map and

 $t = \exp(\nabla \psi) : M \to M$

where ψ is a convex function $\psi: M \to \mathbb{R}$.

• Let μ be the Riemanniam volume measure on M and let $\nu = s_*(\mu)$.

- Let μ be the Riemanniam volume measure on M and let ν = s_{*}(μ).
- Let t be the solution of the Monge problem S(μ, ν) arising from the potential ψ : M → ℝ.

- Let μ be the Riemanniam volume measure on M and let ν = s_{*}(μ).
- Let t be the solution of the Monge problem S(μ, ν) arising from the potential ψ : M → ℝ.
- Let t^{*} be the solution of the Monge problem S(ν, μ). Show that t, t^{*} are inverses almost everywhere. Let u = t^{*} ∘ s.

- Let μ be the Riemanniam volume measure on M and let ν = s_{*}(μ).
- Let t be the solution of the Monge problem S(μ, ν) arising from the potential ψ : M → ℝ.
- Let t^{*} be the solution of the Monge problem S(ν, μ). Show that t, t^{*} are inverses almost everywhere. Let u = t^{*} ∘ s.
- Then t ∘ u = s, μ almost everywhere. Furthermore u_{*}(μ) = t^{*}_{*}s_{*}(μ) = t^{*}_{*}(ν) = μ, hence u is measure preserving.

- McCann,R, J. Polarization of maps on Riemannian Manifolds. Max-Planck-Institut fur Mathematik in den Naturwissenschaften Leipzig, Preprint no: 41, 1999.
- McCann, Robert J., and Nestor Guillen. Five lectures on optimal transportation: geometry, regularity and applications. Analysis and geometry of metric measure spaces: lecture notes of the séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieure (SMS) Montréal (2011): 145-180.